Standardization and Registry Development Steering Committee January 23, 2025

Attendance									
Members Present: Robin Harris Billet Peggy Adamo					NAACCR Staff Present:	Board Liaison:	Gues	st:	
Heather Stabinsky (co-chair) Georgia Yee Rich Pinder				Lori Havener Lori Koch		Mary	y Brant		
Jenna Deniaud (co-chair) Valerie Somma Randi Rycroft			Jim Hofferkamp Joshua Mazuryk		Saral	Sarah Burton			
Wendy Aldinger Mary Jane King			Stephanie Hill			Lois	Dickie		
AGENDA ITEM			DECISION			ACTION/FOLLOW-UP			
1. Roll									
2. Review minutes/action items							Dec	ember minute	s approved.
3. Discussion Items									
a. UDS WG repondary and Same etings hell retiring some new ones. The updated and b. ICD-O WG repondary to involve the manutage of the manutage	rah provided an updated, discussing the propertion of data items and the irrectory of the charter for this grousent to Lori H. port poleted the table and tions to be sent to Mimplement in 2026. It was no logy associated with the reportability lift updated as needed. It was a subscription CR Board. matics Advisory Group the discussion of the provide a list of items and expects to descript and expects to descr	osals for v26 for atroduction of up has been LTG for their will only consist hexisting ICD-O ist is being A budget was submitted preport atinues to work complete it by ed by the informatic ed to the Board test to the work move forward tion, XML	0	O WG Lois e fill ga The n opera that a staff t acknow mean proce touch are no	a asked about the difference and the ICD-O-4 Implement explained that the ICD-O WG ps in between new versions. Lori H. clarified that the ICD under S&RD and Lois is the under the Mid-Level Tactica be focused strictly on the ICD implementation. MLTG is indrafting a charter. It deed for efficiency in central extremely a consider that the need to quality the seed for the idea of bringing in ot necessarily curated in advict of the idea of bringing in ot necessarily curated in advict of more extensive quality con Jenna emphasized the importance of timeliness in Valerie suggested defining for efficient analysis. Randi shared the need for uto facilitate quicker data re	ration Task Force. was created to help of ICD-O. D-O work group is chair. The group al Group (MLTG) will CD-O-4 In the process of cancer registry was a consensus ald free up time for s also uantify what they ow changes in The team also source records that ance, which could introl work. Details of the sin records and the data collection. Critical data items	0 0	feedback by Metrics for t approved. Jenna to connotes from t efficient data processing for next meeting discuss partifuture meetit Lori H. will lethat the SC v from 12:30 t Wednesday Annual Confiand Jenna pl	ort and provide February 1 st . he workplan were hpile high-level he discussion on a collection and or review at the g. Continue to al records at ngs. et Angela know vould like to meet o 1:00 PM on at the NAACCR erence. Heather an to host an fice hour" style
plan and met with metrics clearinghous	rics. They decided to	move forward tion, XML s, registry			for efficient analysis.	upstream timeliness leases. lenges faced by			

Joshua sha registries o recommer	i. Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm. The group discussed whether to schedule an in-person meeting at the NAACCR Annual Conference. For report ared the board discussed the qualities of high-quality document and the status of certification adations. Alarrative article worthy iness		February 27, 2025 at 12:00 – 1:30 pm	Lori H. to send out new calendar invites for future steering committee meetings.
Board liais Joshua sha registries o recommer NAACCR N	i. Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm. The group discussed whether to schedule an in-person meeting at the NAACCR Annual Conference. son report ared the board discussed the qualities of high-quality document and the status of certification indations. Jarrative article worthy			
Board liais Joshua sha registries o recommer NAACCR N	i. Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm. The group discussed whether to schedule an in-person meeting at the NAACCR Annual Conference. son report ared the board discussed the qualities of high-quality document and the status of certification indations. Jarrative article worthy			○ Lori H. to send out new
Board liais Joshua sha registries o recommer	i. Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm. The group discussed whether to schedule an in-person meeting at the NAACCR Annual Conference. For report ared the board discussed the qualities of high-quality document and the status of certification indations.			
Board liais Joshua sha registries (i. Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm. The group discussed whether to schedule an in-person meeting at the NAACCR Annual Conference. Son report ared the board discussed the qualities of high-quality document and the status of certification			
Board liais Joshua sha	 i. Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm. The group discussed whether to schedule an in-person meeting at the NAACCR Annual Conference. iiii Conference of the poart discussed the qualities of high-quality 			
Board liais	 i. Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm. The group discussed whether to schedule an in-person meeting at the NAACCR Annual Conference. con report 			
	 Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm. The group discussed whether to schedule an in-person meeting at the NAACCR Annual Conference. 			
g.	 Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm. The group discussed whether to schedule an in-person meeting at the NAACCR Annual 			
g.	 Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm. The group discussed whether to schedule an 			
g.	i. Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30- 1:30pm or 3:30-4:30pm.			
g.	i. Meeting time options: Wednesday at 12:30-			
g.	•			
~				
•				
•				
<u>=</u>				
•				
	•			
	difference between historical and retired data			
	<u> </u>		at the source record level.	
f.			. •	
	could impact their roles and processes.	0	Jenna concluded the discussion by	
	how more efficient data collection and processing		capabilities.	
	data dissemination. She asked the team to consider		tailoring data sources to their specific	
	entire process from source record generation to		by Randi, who emphasized the importance of	
	• •	0		
	9		55 5	
0.				
e.	, ,	O	•	
		0		
	f.	Jenna initiated a discussion about the strategic initiative on innovative approaches to data collection, emphasizing the need to consider the entire process from source record generation to data dissemination. She asked the team to consider how more efficient data collection and processing could impact their roles and processes. f. Mid-Level Tactical Group report Heather shared the group is looking into the difference between historical and retired data items and how they should be defined. Mary Jane and Lori H. clarified that historical data items are still available for researchers, while retired data items are no longer used or collected. Lori H. also explained that if a registry wants to continue collecting a retired data item, they can do so in their user-defined dictionary.	e. Partial Records Jenna initiated a discussion about the strategic initiative on innovative approaches to data collection, emphasizing the need to consider the entire process from source record generation to data dissemination. She asked the team to consider how more efficient data collection and processing could impact their roles and processes. f. Mid-Level Tactical Group report Heather shared the group is looking into the difference between historical and retired data items and how they should be defined. Mary Jane and Lori H. clarified that historical data items are still available for researchers, while retired data items are no longer used or collected. Lori H. also explained that if a registry wants to continue collecting a retired data item, they can do so in their user-defined dictionary.	should be submitted by February 1st. e. Partial Records Jenna initiated a discussion about the strategic initiative on innovative approaches to data collection, emphasizing the need to consider the entire process from source record generation to data dissemination. She asked the team to consider how more efficient data collection and processing could impact their roles and processes. f. Mid-Level Tactical Group report Heather shared her experience with a vendor that populates abstracts for facilities, suggesting that the role of registrars could be limited to quality control. The concept of a 'partial record' was clarified by Randi, who emphasized the importance of tailoring data sources to their specific capabilities. Jenna concluded the discussion by emphasizing the need for improved software at the source record level. difference between historical and retired data items and how they should be defined. Mary Jane and Lori H. clarified that historical data items are still available for researchers, while retired data items are no longer used or collected. Lori H. also explained that if a registry wants to continue collecting a retired data item, they can do so in their user-defined dictionary.