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| **Strategic Planning and Alliances Steering Committee****April 5, 2022** |
|

|  |
| --- |
| **Attendance**  |
| **Members Present:** Randi Rycroft (chair)Betsy Kohler | Jenna MazrekuKaren KnightAnn Marie Hill | Winny RoshalaMary Jane KingMonique Hernandez | Dennis Deapen | **NAACCR Staff Present:**Lori Havener |

 |
| **AGENDA ITEM** | **DISCUSSION** | **ACTION/FOLLOW-UP** |
| 1. Roll
 |
| 1. Review notes – Randi
 |  | * Randi has submitted the budget request to the NAACCR Board for an in-person meeting.
 |
| 1. Change Cancer Surveillance Data Model strategy - Randi
* All incident cases reported electronically to the CCR within 14 days of diagnosis confirmation. Potential sources:
	+ **E-path**
	+ **Biomarker/Genomics**
	+ **Radiology**
	+ **Hospital (Discharge datasets? Registries?)**
	+ **EHR**
	+ **Pharmacy?**
	+ **Medical claims?**
	+ **Clinical lab data?**
	+ **Physician reporting?** Templates for discharge summaries that includes physician testimony where applicable in non-written form. Randi paraphrased what Mary Jane suggested to say it is about incorporating the physician’s’ final judgement/conclusion/interpretation of all of the facts that they are privy to.
* No more manual reporting (but not get rid of curated data from hospitals)
* Bring all data together into a national, deduplicated cancer registry for research purposes (only??). (Real time? How soon after the state receives it? Must it be first-level curated before it is sent up?)
 | * Mary Jane pointed out to reach an ideal state you need champions.
* Dennis expressed a desire for anything we build that automates registry data capture should be equally valuable and beneficial to the hospital registry, as well as the central registry.
* Is the future state a 2–3-tiered system? Or is it continuous?
* Dennis suggested setting metrics for success in this initiative. How good enough is good enough? Need consensus to be successful.
* Betsy shared a question about how we determine the data is complete enough to publish statistics at an earlier point in time with confidence.
* We need to have standard setters and stakeholders engaged earlier rather than later in this process.
* Randi asked the group to consider how we structure the data we collect. Could we collect information more like serial events rather than taking all the events that happen (in a loose time frame) and we come up with a single answer to define the case.
 | * Lori will send a Doodle poll for an alternate meeting date in May.
* Next step:
* Identify what we think are the necessary items/issues to address, not solve.
	+ Secondary sharing and data use.
 |
| 1. Next Meeting
 | Tuesday, May 3 at 11:30 am eastern (Different date is being considered) |