|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Strategic Planning and Alliances Steering Committee**  **April 5, 2022** | | | |
| |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Attendance** | | | | | | **Members Present:**  Randi Rycroft (chair)  Betsy Kohler | Jenna Mazreku  Karen Knight  Ann Marie Hill | Winny Roshala  Mary Jane King  Monique Hernandez | Dennis Deapen | **NAACCR Staff Present:**  Lori Havener | | | | |
| **AGENDA ITEM** | **DISCUSSION** | **ACTION/FOLLOW-UP** |
| 1. Roll | | |
| 1. Review notes – Randi |  | * Randi has submitted the budget request to the NAACCR Board for an in-person meeting. |
| 1. Change Cancer Surveillance Data Model strategy - Randi  * All incident cases reported electronically to the CCR within 14 days of diagnosis confirmation. Potential sources:   + **E-path**   + **Biomarker/Genomics**   + **Radiology**   + **Hospital (Discharge datasets? Registries?)**   + **EHR**   + **Pharmacy?**   + **Medical claims?**   + **Clinical lab data?**   + **Physician reporting?** Templates for discharge summaries that includes physician testimony where applicable in non-written form. Randi paraphrased what Mary Jane suggested to say it is about incorporating the physician’s’ final judgement/conclusion/interpretation of all of the facts that they are privy to. * No more manual reporting (but not get rid of curated data from hospitals) * Bring all data together into a national, deduplicated cancer registry for research purposes (only??). (Real time? How soon after the state receives it? Must it be first-level curated before it is sent up?) | * Mary Jane pointed out to reach an ideal state you need champions. * Dennis expressed a desire for anything we build that automates registry data capture should be equally valuable and beneficial to the hospital registry, as well as the central registry. * Is the future state a 2–3-tiered system? Or is it continuous? * Dennis suggested setting metrics for success in this initiative. How good enough is good enough? Need consensus to be successful. * Betsy shared a question about how we determine the data is complete enough to publish statistics at an earlier point in time with confidence. * We need to have standard setters and stakeholders engaged earlier rather than later in this process. * Randi asked the group to consider how we structure the data we collect. Could we collect information more like serial events rather than taking all the events that happen (in a loose time frame) and we come up with a single answer to define the case. | * Lori will send a Doodle poll for an alternate meeting date in May. * Next step: * Identify what we think are the necessary items/issues to address, not solve.   + Secondary sharing and data use. |
| 1. Next Meeting | Tuesday, May 3 at 11:30 am eastern (Different date is being considered) | |