## Strategic Planning and Alliances Steering Committee December 7, 2021

tandi Rycroft (chair)       Dennis Deapen       Winny Roshala       Lori Havener       Ann Marie Hill         AGENDA ITEM       DECISION       ACTION/FOLLOW-UP         1. Roil       .       .       Minutes were approved with corrected changes.         3. Tweak team charter to reflect proposed change in SMP WG oversight – Randi       .       .       .       Randi will make needed changes to the work plan and charter to send to the sond usin that it's probably not ideal for our Steering Committee to have oversight over the Strategic Management Plan Work Group. As a result, the SMP work group has modified their team charter to remove all references to being under the SPA Steering Committee. We need to do the same to our work plan.       .       .       Winny will forward to the group the minimum data set suggested in 2018.         4. Change Cancer Surveillance Data Model strategy – explore 2 tiered reporting – Randi       .       .       .       Winny will forward to the group the minimum data set suggested in 2018.         4. Change Cancer Surveillance Data Model strategy – explore 2 tiered reporting – Randi       .       .       .       .       Winny will forward to the group the minimum data set suggested in 2018.       .	Attendance				
AGENDA ITEM       DECISION       ACTION/FOLLOW-UP         1. Roll       • Minutes were approved with corrected changes.         3. Tweak team charter to reflect proposed change in SMP WG oversight – Randi In conversations with NAACCR leadership we came to the conclusion that it's probably not ideal for our Steering Committee to have oversight over the Strategic Management Plan Work Group. As a result, the SMP work group has modified their team charter to remove all references to being under the SPA Steering Committee. We need to do the same to our work plan.       • Randi will make needed changes to the group for review.         4. Change Cancer Surveillance Data Model strategy – explore 2 tiered reporting – Randi use E-path. Jenna mentioned one of the difficulties is getting an accurate denominator of all possible pathology reporting sources.       • Winny will forward to the group the minimum data set for central cancer registry surveillance reporting.         • Sentinel registry concept. There have been multiple considerations for minimal data set for an incidence record.       • Lori informed the group that Cancer Informatics Advisory Group is working with NCI on the caDSR and NAACCR Standards are included although version 18 and 21 are not yet included. NCI suggested identifying a minimum data set to include. This recommendation will be brought to S&RD later this week.       • Other	Members Present:         Jenna Mazreku         Iris Zachary		NAACCR Staff Present:	Guest:	
1. Roll       • Minutes were approved with corrected changes.         3. Tweak team charter to reflect proposed change in SMP WG oversight – Randi In conversations with NAACCR leadership we came to the conclusion that it's probably not ideal for our Steering Committee to have oversight over the Strategic Management Plan Work Group. As a result, the SMP work group has modified their team charter to remove all references to being under the SPA Steering Committee. We need to do the same to our work plan.       • Winny will forward to the group the minimum data set suggested in 2018.         4. Change Cancer Surveillance Data Model strategy – explore 2 tiered reporting – Randi       • Uri suggest reaching out to Sandy Jones at NPCR as she works closely with the NPCR registries on E-path reporting and may know what percentage of registries use E-path. Jenna mentioned one of the difficulties is getting an accurate denominator of all possible pathology reporting sources.       • Winny will forward to the group the minimum data set for central cancer registry surveillance reporting. Als include whether you think these data two-tier program?         • Sentinel registry concept. There have been multiple considerations for minimal data set for an incidence record.       • Lori informed the group that Cancer Informatics Advisory Group is working with NCI on the caDSR and NAACCR Standards are included although version 18 and 21 are not yet included. NCI suggested identifying a minimum data set to include. This recommendation will be brought to S&RD later this week.         5. Other       • Meter	Randi Rycroft (chair)	Dennis Deapen	Winny Roshala	Lori Havener	Ann Marie Hill
<ul> <li>2. Review notes - Randi</li> <li>3. Tweak team charter to reflect proposed change in SMP WG oversight - Randi In conversations with NAACCR leadership we came to the conclusion that it's probably not ideal for our Steering Committee to have oversight over the Strategic Management Plan Work Group. As a result, the SMP work group has modified their team charter to remove all references to being under the SPA Steering Committee. We need to do the same to our work plan.</li> <li>4. Change Cancer Surveillance Data Model strategy - explore 2 tiered reporting - Randi         <ul> <li>Lori suggest reaching out to Sandy Jones at NPCR as she works closely with the NPCR registries on E-path reporting and may know what percentage of registries use E-path. Jenna mentioned one of the difficulties is getting an accurate denominator of all possible pathology reporting sources.</li> <li>Jenna suggested looking into how near to real time reporting is done for CCC.</li> <li>What information does this group need to understand in order to really assess a two-tier program?</li> <li>Sentinel registry concept. There have been multiple considerations for minimial data set for an incidence record.</li> <li>Lori informed the group that Cancer Informatics Advisory Group is working with NCI on the caDSR and NAACCR Standards are includeed although version 18 and 21 are not yet included. NCI suggested identifying a minimum data set to include. This recommendation will be brought to S&amp;RD later this week.</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. Other</li> </ul>	AGENDA ITEM			DECISION	ACTION/FOLLOW-UP
3. Tweak team charter to reflect proposed change in SMP WG oversight – Randi In conversations with NAACCR leadership we came to the conclusion that it's probably not ideal for our Steering Committee to have oversight over the Strategic Management Plan Work Group. As a result, the SMP work group has modified their team charter to remove all references to being under the SPA Steering Committee. We need to do the same to our work plan. <ul> <li>Winny will forward to the group the minimum data set suggested in 2018.</li> <li>Lori suggest reaching out to Sandy Jones at NPCR as she works closely with the NPCR registries on E-path reporting and may know what percentage of registries use E-path. Jenna mentioned one of the difficulties is getting an accurate denominator of all possible pathology reporting sources.</li> <li>Jenna suggested looking into how near to real time reporting is done for COC.</li> <li>What information does this group need to understand in order to really assess a two-tier program?</li> <li>Sentinel registry concept. There have been multiple considerations for minimal data set for can incidence record.</li> <li>Lori informed the group that Cancer Informatics Advisory Group is working with NCI on the caDSR and NAACCR Standards are included although version 18 and 21 are not yet included. NCI suggested identifying a minimum data set to include. This recommendation will be brought to S&amp;RD later this week.</li> </ul> <ul> <li>Other</li> <li>Meta</li> </ul>	1. Roll				
In conversations with NAACCR leadership we came to the conclusion that it's probably not ideal for our Steering Committee to have oversight over the Strategic Management Plan Work Group. As a result, the SMP work group has modified their team charter to remove all references to being under the SPA Steering Committee. We need to do the same to our work plan.              work plan and charter to send to the group for review.               Winny will forward to the group the minimum data set suggested in 2018.             Lori suggest reaching out to Sandy Jones at NPCR as she works closely with the NPCR registries on E-path. Jenna mentioned one of the difficulties is getting an accurate denominator of all possible pathology reporting sources.           What information does this group need to understand in order to really assess a two-tier program?             Sentinel registry concept. There have been multiple considerations for minimal data set for an incidence record.           Lori informed the group that Cancer Informatics Advisory Group is working with NCI on the caDSR and NAACCR Standards are included although version 18 and 21 are not yet included. NCI suggested identifying a minimum data set to include. This recommendation will be brought to S&RD later this week.           Lori include. NCI suggested identifying a minimum data set to include. This recommendation will be brought to S&RD later this week.	2. Review notes – Randi				
<ul> <li>Lori suggest reaching out to Sandy Jones at NPCR as she works closely with the NPCR registries on E-path reporting and may know what percentage of registries use E-path. Jenna mentioned one of the difficulties is getting an accurate denominator of all possible pathology reporting sources.</li> <li>Jenna suggested looking into how near to real time reporting is done for COC.</li> <li>What information does this group need to understand in order to really assess a two-tier program?</li> <li>Sentinel registry concept. There have been multiple considerations for minimal data set for an incidence record.</li> <li>Lori informed the group that Cancer Informatics Advisory Group is working with NCI on the caDSR and NAACCR Standards are included although version 18 and 21 are not yet included. NCI suggested identifying a minimum data set to include. This recommendation will be brought to S&amp;RD later this week.</li> <li>S. Other</li> </ul>	In conversations with NAACCR leadership we came to the conclusion that it's probably not ideal for our Steering Committee to have oversight over the Strategic Management Plan Work Group. As a result, the SMP work group has modified their team charter to remove all references to being under the SPA Steering Committee. We need to do the same to our				work plan and charter to send to the grou
	<ul> <li>4. Change Cancer Surveillance Data Model strategy – explore 2 tiered reporting – Randi <ul> <li>Lori suggest reaching out to Sandy Jones at NPCR as she works closely with the NPCR registries on E-path reporting and may know what percentage of registries use E-path. Jenna mentioned one of the difficulties is getting an accurate denominator of all possible pathology reporting sources.</li> <li>Jenna suggested looking into how near to real time reporting is done for COC.</li> <li>What information does this group need to understand in order to really assess a two-tier program?</li> <li>Sentinel registry concept. There have been multiple considerations for minimal data set for an incidence record.</li> <li>Lori informed the group that Cancer Informatics Advisory Group is working with NCI on the caDSR and NAACCR Standards are included although version 18 and 21 are not yet included. NCI suggested identifying a minimum data set to include. This recommendation will be brought to S&amp;RD later this week.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				<ul> <li>minimum data set suggested in 2018.</li> <li>Each member of this group review and determine whether you think this represents a minimal data set for central cancer registry surveillance reporting. Also include whether you think these data exists electronically and where it is</li> </ul>
	6. Next Meeting				