Protocol for Assessing Duplicate Cases for CiNA, Registry Certification, and Evaluation of 2017 cases

This protocol will be used to evaluate the number of duplicate records that reside on your registry database and have not been identified or corrected using regular matching, linkages, or other registry protocols. This assessment of duplicates must be completed in order for the data from your registry to be eligible for CiNA Combined evaluation, CiNA Deluxe, Registry Certification, and evaluation of your 2017 cases.

PLEASE NOTE: The protocol must be completed separately for CiNA, Registry Certification, and evaluation of your 2017 cases. Completing the protocol is *mandatory* for CiNA and Certification submission and *recommended* for 2017 (6 cases submission.

Duplicate Assessment Protocol for CiNA:

- 1. Extract a list of <u>all</u> records of eligible primary tumors for 1995-2016 (include cases obtained through data exchange agreements with other central cancer registries, federal facilities, or other data sources).
- 2. Select one or more geographic area(s) that will produce a list of cases that meets the sample size requirement stated in the table below. If the total number of records in your registry's database is smaller, conduct the protocol for assessing duplicate cancer records on your entire database.

Duplicate Assessment Protocol for Registry Certification:

- 1. Extract a list of <u>all</u> records of eligible primary tumors for 2016 (include cases obtained through data exchange agreements with other central cancer registries, federal facilities, or other data sources).
- 2. Select one or more geographic area(s) that will produce a list of cases that meets the sample size requirement stated in the table below. If the total number of records in your registry's database is smaller, conduct the protocol for assessing duplicate cancer records on your entire database.

Duplicate Assessment Protocol for 2017 cases:

Follow the Protocol for Registry Certification, except perform the protocol on 2017 cases rather than 2016 cases.

Sample Size Requirements

- Any registry with fewer than 4,500 cases for the time period MUST use all available cases to conduct the duplicate report assessment protocol.
- Sample size designation for the protocol is based upon unresolved duplication rate reported by each registry for the 1995-2016 CiNA data file submission.
 - The minimum sample size of 4,500 is required for registries reporting unresolved duplicates of <0.50 per 1,000.
 - A 15,000 sample is required of registries with reported duplicates of 0.5 to <2.0 per thousand *or* did not submit 2011-2015 data for the 2018 CiNA publications.
 - A 20,000 sample is required of registries with reported duplicates of 2.0 to <3.0 per thousand.
 - Registries over 3.0 per thousand are required to use all cases.
- Use the table below to determine the sample size for your registry.

Sample Size	Registry
4,500	All registries not listed below should use a sample size of 4,500. If smaller than 4,500, the registry should use all their cases.
15,000	Georgia, Michigan, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Quebec
20,000	Minnesota

Data Items used to determine duplicates

- 1. Data items in each record that can be helpful in determining a duplicate report are:
 - a. last name
 - b. first name
 - c. social security number (U.S.) or health insurance number (Canada)
 - d. date of birth
 - e. SEER cancer site group
 - f. middle name
 - g. age at diagnosis
 - h. sex
 - i. race
 - j. date of diagnosis
 - k. tumor sequence number
 - l. primary site code
 - m. morphology code
 - n. laterality
 - o. stage of disease
- 2. List all reports of eligible primary tumors selected for the protocol. Sort the list by:
 - a. last name
 - b. first name
 - c. social security number or health insurance number
 - d. date of birth
 - e. SEER cancer site group

It may be useful to include the patient identification number. Also, add any other variables to the list that you feel would assist you in resolving the identities of duplicate records.

Determine whether duplicate last names and first names or meaningful equivalent names (e.g. Bill and William) reflect reports of multiple primaries, using the SEER Rules for Determining Multiple Primary Cancers.

MATCH CRITERIA IN IDENTIFYING POTENTIAL MATCHES									
Last Name	First Name	Sex	D.O.B	SSN	Primary Site	Morphology	Date of Dx		
x		x	x						
x	x	x		X					
	X		X		x				
		x	X	x					
x	X		X						
X	X			x					
X	X		x	x					
X	X	x	x	x					
X			x	x					
X	X		x		X				
X		x		x		x	х		
X	X		x	x		x	х		
	x	x			x				
X	x				x		x		
x	x		x		x	x	x		
Х	X			х	Х	X	Х		

3. Using the following table, as a guideline, review the list to identify potential duplicate records of the same tumor:

A duplicate record is considered to meet the criteria of any of the following:

- All elements in the table are identical. This is a duplicate record and should be counted as a duplicate record on the Identification of Duplicates Form.
- Probable match (one or more facilities reporting similar data) most elements are the same and a few are so similar they have a great potential to be the same case report.

An example would be same last name, meaningful equivalent first name (Virginia and Ginny), same diagnosis date, and same birth month and year but: different day of birth (11/12/49 and 11/21/49) or two digits of SSN different (999-99-9901 and 999 99-9910) or similar site codes (C161 and C169) or similar morphology codes (8140/3and 8490/3). The registry should determine, with assistance if necessary from the reporting facilities, whether the two records are duplicate records.

- 4. Report the number of duplicates found and the exact sample size on the Duplicate Protocol Form on the NAACCR Call for Data submission website.
- 5. Resolve all duplicate records before submission to NAACCR.