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ECC-CDC Study

- Funded by CDC in response to the Caroline Pryce Walker Conquer Childhood Cancer Act (2008)
- Initially funded for 3 years (9/30/2011 – 9/29/2014);
- Continuation grant (DP14-1402) through September 2018 to expand on previous accomplishments
Build/enhance infrastructure of central registries funded by NPCR to track epidemiology of pediatric malignancies

- CA, KY, LA, MN, NE, NY, OK – 7 registries

Facilitate rapid reporting to ascertain incident cases within 30 days of diagnosis with ECC minimum data items

Increase availability of pediatric data for public awareness and data use
E-Path and ADT

- LTR continues to receive a large percentage of E-Path reports, 92% of reportable cases have at least one E-path report.

- **Key Demographics are often missing from E-Path**

- LTR worked with AIM to tap the E-Path interface into hospital ADT feeds

- Implemented at four healthcare facilities where a large proportion of ECC E-Path reports had missing demographics
Strategies using ADT to Improve Timeliness and Completeness in ECC

1. Improve timeliness of ECC data by implementing E-path reporting
2. Improve quality of data by using ADT to supplement E-path demographics
3. Improve completeness of data by identifying clinically diagnosed cases using ICD-9 codes in ADT feeds.
Preliminary results from three of four facilities where the ADT module was implemented showed a significant reduction in missing demographic information in E-Path reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>% Unknown Before ADT</th>
<th>% Unknown After ADT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address at Dx</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Proportions include CHNO, OMC, and TRMC as of March 2016*
E-Path ADT Module Advantages

- ADT feed increases “real time” completion of PYAC variables (address, race) on pathology reports
- Missing demographic variables added to E-Path in an automated fashion
- Major Children’s Hospital in New Orleans (CHNO) greatly reduced unknowns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>% Unknown Before ADT</th>
<th>% Unknown After ADT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address at Dx</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Sustainable method that requires no additional cost/effort after AIM’s installation of the ADT module
E-Path ADT Module Future Implementation

- Can be implemented at any hospitals that has already installed an AIM E-Path interface for a one time fee
- Eight additional facilities with an existing AIM E-Path installation have been identified to implement an ADT module as a part of the ECC study
Clinically Diagnosed Cases

- Still a challenge for timeliness
  - No pathology report at initial diagnosis
    - Subsequent biopsy/surgery (E-Path) occurs months to years after initial clinical diagnosis date
  - Nature of some CNS tumors allows “watchful waiting” to be a valid treatment option
    - 29% of LA ECC cases are CNS (286/999)*
  - Children outside of New Orleans metropolitan area, often referred out of state (SJCRH, MD Anderson), further delaying reporting of these cases

*PYAC Cases (<20 years) diagnosed 2012-2016 as of 5-30-16
ADT and the Identification of Clinical Cases

- Hospital ADT feeds are scanned automatically for relevant ICD-9 codes and a message is sent to the LTR on a monthly basis
  - Receive from 4 hospitals with existing AIM E-Path and ADT module (at no additional cost)
- Possible cases not in the registry database are then further investigated through hospital EMRs
ADT and Clinical Cases: Challenges

- Some delays in ICD code messages with facility conversion from ICD-9 to ICD-10 codes
  - Now resolved
- Requires manual follow up to confirm clinical diagnoses that can be time consuming
  - May have limited use outside of ECC study
- Not yet implemented at facilities without AIM E-Path
  - LTR is working with CNExT to tap into ADT feeds to id clinically cases at facilities that already have CAS (cancer alert system) software installed. A test message was verified.
Conclusions and Moving Forward…

- **Beyond ECC**
  - Supplementing E-Path reports with data from ADT significantly improves patients’ demographics in reports
    - Improves registry wide data (not just ECC cases)
    - No additional recurring cost after the infrastructure is in place.
  - Using the ADT feed to identify clinical cases has potential relevance in rapidly capturing PYAC cases because many are initially diagnosed solely through images/scans, making them difficult to identify in a timely fashion using traditional case-finding methods
Beyond ECC

► ADT ICD-9 codes for clinical case-finding
  ► this requires time consuming additional medical record follow up by registry personnel
  ► Labor intensive, may not be feasible outside of ECC
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