E-path System

- > 90,000 pathology reports annually
- From 85+ labs across Ontario
- As of June 1, 2003 there were 7 labs reporting electronically
- The 7 labs account for 20-25% of the volume
- All by March 2005??
DQ Parameters for Cancer Information Systems (from Hilsenbeck, 1990)

- Consistency of classification / coding
- Completeness and accuracy of data elements
- Timeliness of registration
- Constancy of publication
- Completeness of case ascertainment
- Cost-efficiency
Ultimate Parameter of Data Quality?

Lots of Good Use!

“The only value of an information system is in its use.”

Calum Muir
Quality and Productivity

Measurement and Improvement

- Basic Infrastructure/Resources
- Designed Studies
- Statistical Process Controls
Basic QM/I Infrastructure

- Staff and Training
- Documentation of Processes
- Data Dictionary
- Edit Checks
Designed Evaluation Studies

- Consistency of Coding/Classification
- Estimation of Completeness of Case(Report) Finding
- Estimation of Completeness and Accuracy of Core Data Elements
Statistical Process Control

- Using statistical methods and tools to continuously monitor and improve the quality of production systems

  ➔ not only the average quality/productivity, but the variability too!
Statistical Process Controls

- Control Charts for Time-series Monitoring

  - *completeness of source reporting / reg’n*
  - *timeliness of reporting / reg’n*
  - *completeness of core data elements*
Control Chart
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Completeness of Data Elements
e.g. DOB
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What About DOB Completeness?
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And HIN Completeness?

Lakeridge Lab
And Postal Code Completeness?
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What about the Timeliness of Reporting?

All Ontario Labs (n=85)
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Conclusion and Recommendations

- Control charts are feasible & produce informative output.
- SPC is a necessary adjunct to monitoring and improving our production systems.
- Importance of training, stat. support and networking.
- Real time monitoring is vital if we are to operate E-path as a real production system!
Paper vs E-path?

- January 1, 2002 - April 30, 2002
- Reduced to 3 months only
- Paper - 1983 records from 1952 patients
- E-path - 3686 records from 3634 patients
- E-path records not on paper = 1742
- Paper reports not in E-path = 71
Paper vs E-path?

- Matches - **1944** E-path with **1912** paper
- # Differences - major; minor (?)
  - Surname  6; 14 (2)
  - First name 0; 23 (4)
  - Second initial 46; 5 (4)
  - HIN 0; 0 (0)
  - Sex 3; 0 (3)
  - Birth date 17; 9 (0)
Paper vs E-path?

- Matches - 1944 E-path with 1912 paper
- # Differences - major; minor (?)
  - Behaviour 43; 87 (6-3)
  - Site 135 (3 digit); 92 (4th digit) (9 Vs; 4)
  - Histology
    - Dif 4th digit (8500 vs 8503) 131
    - Same subgroup (8500 vs 8522) 98
    - Same group (8500 vs 8230) 35
    - Different group (8500 vs 9590) 71 (8 missing; 1)
Conclusions

• Major and minor differences are small
• Intra or inter-coder variability?
• Behaviour 2.2% ; 4.4%
• Site 6.9% ; 4.7%
• Histology
  • Dif 4th digit 6.7%
  • Same subgroup 5.0%
  • Same group 1.8%
  • Different group 3.6%