Rapid Case Ascertainment in Population and Hospital-Based Studies: Notes From the Field

James R. Cerhan, M.D., Ph.D. Mayo Clinic College of Medicine University of Iowa College of Public Health

Overview of Presentation

- Why Rapid Report?
- Population-Based Study
 - NCI-SEER Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Study (1998-2000)
- Clinic-Based Study
 - Mayo Pancreatic Cancer Study

Why Rapid Report?

- Decrease recall bias
 - Ruminate longer to explain cause of disease
 - Confuse exposures before/after diagnosis
 - Post diagnosis changes could influence recall
- Minimize ascertainment bias
 - Systematic loss of most aggressive cases of a cancer due to early mortality

12-Month Survival (1995-2001)



Approaches to Decrease Bias

- Include Next-of-Kin Interviews
 - Limited exposure assessment of varying validity and reliability
 - Best type of control not always clear
 - Limited collection of biologic specimens
 - Tumor tissue, but often limited for genomic DNA-based studies (and need to have sufficient tissue)
- Enroll only living patients
 - Enroll before major impact of survival
 - Enroll before initiation of therapy

NCI-SEER Interdisciplinary Case-Control Study of Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma





Goals of the study

- Environmental Risk Factors
 - Household pesticides
 - Occupational exposures (benzene)
- Medical History
- Lifestyle
 - Diet and physical activity
 - Sun exposure
- Biologic specimens
 - DNA: genetic polymorphism studies
 - Serum: Organochlorines; antibodies

Data Collection Scheme

	All	Group A (AA, 50% other)	Group B (all other)
Mail	Lifetime residence & work calendar	• Family Medical Hx	 Food Frequency Questionnaire Physical activity
CAPI	 Demographics Residential pesticides Occupational history Hair coloring use 	 Detailed medical hx (diseases, surgeries, antibiotics) Illicit drugs 	 Abbrev medical hx Allergies Hobbies Sun exposure Cell phone
Other	 Consent forms G.P.S. Blood (treatment) Buccal Dust sample Water sample 		

Data Collection Scheme

		AII	Group A (AA, 50% other)	Group B (all other)
Mail	• Life wor	In-F	Person	bod Frequency uestionnaire hysical activity
CAPI	• Den • Res • Occ • Haiı	In-Hom Pre-treat	bbrev medical hx llergies obbies un exposure ell phone	
Other	• Cor • G.P. • Bloo • Buco • Dust • Wate	S. od (treatment) cal t sample er sample		

Case Selection

- Eligibility
 - Newly diagnosed July 1998-June 2000
 - Aged 20 to 74 years
 - Uninfected with HIV
- Sampling scheme
 - Iowa, Seattle: all cases
 - Detroit, LA: all African-Americans and a random sample of all other
- Rapid Reporting Systems

Rapid Reporting - Iowa

- Standard Reporting
 - Begin abstracting 6 mos after diagnosis
 - 15 month lag
- Rapid Reporting
 - Registry field staff increase surveillance
 - Monthly for smaller hospitals/path labs
 - Weekly for larger facilities
 - Report in "early"
 - Laptop electronic form submitted
 - Fax path report to central registry

Iowa Cancer Registry

- Pre-HIPAA
- Temporary Number
 - Not abstracted
- Reconciliation
 - Against Iowa database
 - Is this a recurrence or transformation?
 - Other field reports
 - Concurrent (follow forward)
 - Recent past (follow back)

Iowa Cancer Registry

- Check Eligibility (IRB regulated)
 - Date of diagnosis
 - Age at diagnosis
 - lowa residence
 - Histologically confirmed, new diagnosis of non-Hodgkin lymphoma
 - Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia
 - (HIV/AIDs status)

Iowa Cancer Registry

- Passive Consent
 - Physician of record (oncologist > family)
 - Fax letter and form
 - Eligibility criteria
 - Asked to report any inaccuracies
 - Does patient meet eligibility
 - 14 days to respond with do not contact
 - Letter to cases:

"Where your physician's name was available in our database, we have contacted him or her about your participation in this study"

Rapid Reporting Iowa Experience - First 9 Months



Results of Full NCI Study Case Recruitment



Impact of Rapid Reporting

Time from diagnosis		Cumulative		
to interview	Percent	Percent		
0 – 3 months	16%	16%		
>3 – 6 months	45%	61%		
>6 – 12 months	23%	85%		
>12 – 24 months	12%	96%		
>24 months	4%	100%		

Median = 5.0 months, Mean = 7.3 months

NHL Subtype

	Number Inter- viewed	Median Time Dx to Inter- view	Partici- pation rate	Resp- onse Rate	Percent Deceas- ed
AII	1321	5.0	76%	59%	14%
SLL	161	4.7	81%	69%	8%
Mantle Cell	50	4.1	78%	66%	10%
Follicular	319	4.6	79%	70%	6%
Marginal Zone	106	5.7	75%	62%	6%
DLBCL	417	4.8	75%	53%	21%
Burkitt	20	5.4	80%	49%	24%
PTCL	20	4.9	77%	43%	42%

Pre-Treatment Blood Specimen

		Untreated		Treated	
	Ν	%	med	%	med
All	714	18%	3.7	82%	4.6
SLL	98	39%	3.8	61%	4.3
Mantle Cell	33	18%	3.3	82%	3.6
Follicular	191	23%	3.5	77%	4.8
Marginal Zone	65	34%	3.8	66%	5.4
DLBCL	198	3%	5.7	97%	4.5
Burkitt	11	0%	-	100%	6.0
PTCL	29	11%	3.1	89%	4.9

Summary: NHL Study

- Successful
 - Shortened time from dx to interview
 - Real time link to physicians
- Limitations
 - Ascertainment bias: differential loss of aggressive NHL subtypes
- Not Successful
 - Pre-treatment blood
 - Frozen tumor tissue (not a rapid reporting issue on a population basis)

Overview of Presentation

- Why Rapid Report?
- Population-Based Study
 - NCI-SEER Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Study (1998-2000)
- Clinic-Based Study
 - Mayo Pancreatic Cancer Study

Impact on Molecular Epidemiology Studies of Pancreatic Cancer

- Bias related to survival
 - Population-based studies of pancreatic cancer – 30-70% deceased and unable to enroll into studies (no DNA)
- Bias related to DNA source
 - Retrospective, registry-based study in
 Spain used tumor tissue as DNA source
 - Only 34% of 149 cases had useable tumor tissue

Mayo Clinic Pancreatic Patient Recruitment (G. Petersen, PI)

- Starting in October 2002, all consecutive patients approached
 - At diagnosis (ultra-ultra rapid recruitment)
 - By mail within 2 months (ultra-rapid recruitment)
- HIPAA (Preparatory to Research)
- Over 600 patients enrolled to date
 - 92% within 30 days of diagnosis
 - 80% consent rate

Bias By Survival

Deaths among 412 recruited subjects, Mayo Clinic Pancreatic Cancer Registry

Pilot Study Results Allele/Genotype Frequencies

		Cases		
Candidate Genes	Controls (N=62)	All (N=62)	Short (N=31)	Long (N=31)
CYP2E1 / G1293C / (C)	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
CYP2E1 / C1053T / (C)	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.98
GSTT1 / (Null)	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.13
GSTM1/ (Null)	0.53	0.48	0.45	0.52
NQO1 / (C)	0.78	0.88	0.82	0.95

Short: died within 4 months of diagnosis Long: survival >9 months

Source: Petersen GM, et al. (unpublished)

Bias By Tumor DNA Availability

Surgery among 412 recruited subjects, Mayo Clinic Pancreatic Cancer Registry

Pilot Study Results Allele/Genotype Frequencies

		Cases		
Candidate Genes	Controls (N=61)	All (N=62)	No Surgery (N=52)	Surgery (N=10)
	((((((((((((((((((((((((
CYPZE1 / G1293C / (C)	0.02	0.02	0.01	
CYP2E1 / C1053T / (C)	0.97	0.98	0.98	1.00
GSTT1 / (Null)	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.10
GSTM1/ (Null)	0.53	0.48	0.46	0.60
NQO1 / (C)	0.78	0.88	0.87	0.94

Source: Petersen GM, et al. (unpublished)

Conclusions

- Rapid reporting critical for identifying cases quickly
 - Decrease ascertainment bias related to survival
 - Increase the percentage of cases with biologic specimens
- For some cancers, ultra-rapid reporting through hospital-based registries may be required

Acknowledgements

NHL Case-Control Study

- NCI
 - Patricia Hartge
 - Nat Rothman
 - Martha Linet
 - Mary Ward
 - Rashmi Sinha
 - Aaron Blair
- Univ of Iowa
 - Chuck Lynch
- Detroit
 - Rick Severson

- USC
 - Wendy Cozen
 - Leslie Bernstein
- FHCRC
 - Scott Davis

Pancreatic Cancer Study

- Mayo Clinic
 - Gloria Petersen
 - Janet Olson
 - Mariza de Andrade
 - Julie Cunningham