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NAACCR

- Monthly Board of Directors Meeting
- Monthly Committee Calls
- Committee Liaisons, Overlap, Organizational Liaisons
- Quarterly Board/Chair Calls, Board/SMO calls
Board/Chairs/Sponsoring Member Organization Meeting

- To Review Progress and set new Priorities
- Based on the Field of Cancer Surveillance
- Focus on Overarching Issues
Real Time Case Reporting

- Highest Priority
- Feasibility Index: ESSENTIAL
- Time Frame: 1-2 years
Issues

- Registries using 1980s technology in 21st century
- Increasing pressures via data requests for current data
- Need to move toward electronic, real-time reporting from all sources
- Must include demographic information, disease codes, and pathology results
Tactics

- Identify experts in these areas and build bridges, become players, expand NAACCR’s knowledge base
- Identify Registries on “bleeding edge” to help others
Specific Tasks

- Map current transmission standards to modern methods in full synchronicity with NHII standards,
- Focus on informatics developments that can be applied to our field
- Investigate ways to link NAACCR to national efforts to develop the HER
Who?

- NAACCR
  - Committees: IT, UDS, ROC, BOD
  - Executive Office
- Others
  - ACoS, CAP, C-Change, CDC, NCI
Standards Oversight

Very High Priority
Feasibility Index: GOOD
Time Frame: One year
Issues

Need to validate/introduce/announce any proposed changes to the field of cancer registration much earlier in the process.

Need to understand the impact of changing requirements, rules, policies on: OPS, Cost, Data.
Tactics/Tasks

Establish Implementation Oversight committee
Define process for bringing new issues forward
Define a feedback loop
Identify support mechanisms to staff and maintain this group
Who?

NAACCR Executive Office and Board
Sponsoring Member Organizations
NAACCR Members
Progress

- Committee has been formed
- Liz Ward, ACS Leader
- Discussing committee functionality and roles
- Discussing Multiple Primary/Histology issues
Retention and Recruitment

Very High Priority

Feasibility Index: GOOD

Time Frame: Evaluate Progress by December 2005
Issues

- Cancer Surveillance field suffering staffing issues now, and for the future
- NCRA priority area
- Staff Retention in field also an issue
Tactics

- Form NAACCR workgroup on this issue
- Identify gaps not already being addressed
- Meet with NCRA about shared interests in this area
Specific Tasks

- Form R-n-R Workgroup
- Develop informational packets for recruitment
- Survey NAACCR Members about R-n-R successes
- Work with NCRA
- Consider a “Futuring” workshop
- Work with C-Change’s Workforce Group
Who?

- **NAACCR:**
  - Education Committee, Mignon Dryden
- **Others:**
  - Sponsoring Member Organizations
  - C-Change
Develop Standards that Ensure Validity of Data Across Disciplines

High Priority

Feasibility Index: GOOD

Time Frame: One-two years
Issues:

- Meaningful data collection is essential
- Make sure differences are understood
- Assess the validity of making changes, focusing on the use of the data as well as the collection
Tactics:

- Develop support for cross-disciplinary dialogue to explore similarities and differences
- Develop communication strategies with Implementation Oversight group, C-Change, and NCCCS
Specific Tasks

- Provide leadership to C-Change for the planning of cross disciplinary dialogue
- Types of discussions: ambiguous terms, multiple primaries, histologies, etc
- Tie in real time reporting issues
Who?

- **NAACCR:**
  - Board of Directors, Executive Office
- **Others:**
  - Sponsoring Member Organizations
  - C-Change
Progress:

- Planning a meeting sponsored by C-Change Access Team on this topic
Define and Assess surveillance needs for various outcomes

High Priority

Feasibility Index: GOOD

Time Frame: One-Two Years
Tactics:

- Ask Members and SMOs to identify users and stakeholders
  - Identify how they use data
- Talk to stakeholders about potential data use and wish lists
Tasks:

- Construct matrix of categories of use/products/data elements
- Develop ways to evaluate data for various uses – are we marketable?
- Develop materials for cancer control specialists to better understand how Registry data may be used
Who?

- NAACCR
  - Data Evaluation and Certification Committee
  - Data Use and Research Committee
- Others
  - Members. NCCCS, SMOs,
Progress

- Matrix has been worked on