

NAACCR Priorities for 2005-2006

Betsy A. Kohler, MPH, CTR



NAACCR

- **Monthly Board of Directors Meeting**
- **Monthly Committee Calls**
- **Committee Liaisons, Overlap, Organizational Liaisons**
- **Quarterly Board/Chair Calls, Board/SMO calls**

Board/Chairs/Sponsoring Member Organization Meeting

- **To Review Progress and set new
Priorities**
- **Based on the Field of Cancer
Surveillance**
- **Focus on Overarching Issues**

Real Time Case Reporting

- Highest Priority
- Feasibility Index: ESSENTIAL
- Time Frame: 1-2 years

Issues

- Registries using 1980s technology in 21st century
- Increasing pressures via data requests for current data
- Need to move toward electronic, real-time reporting from all sources
- Must include demographic information, disease codes, and pathology results

Tactics

- Identify experts in these areas and build bridges, become players, expand NAACCR's knowledge base
- Identify Registries on “bleeding edge” to help others

Specific Tasks

- Map current transmission standards to modern methods in full synchronicity with NHII standards,
- Focus on informatics developments that can be applied to our field
- Investigate ways to link NAACCR to national efforts to develop the HER

Who?

- **NAACCR**

- ◆ **Committees: IT, UDS, ROC, BOD**
Executive Office

- **Others**

- ◆ **ACoS, CAP, C-Change, CDC, NCI**

Standards Oversight

Very High Priority

Feasibility Index: GOOD

Time Frame: One year

Issues

Need to validate/introduce/announce any proposed changes to the field of cancer registration much earlier in the process

Need to understand the impact of changing requirements, rules, policies on: OPS, Cost, Data

Tactics/Tasks

Establish Implementation Oversight committee

Define process for bringing new issues forward

Define a feedback loop

Identify support mechanisms to staff and maintain this group

Who?

NAACCR Executive Office and Board
Sponsoring Member Organizations
NAACCR Members



Progress

- Committee has been formed
- Liz Ward, ACS Leader
- Discussing committee functionality and roles
- Discussing Multiple Primary/Histology issues

Retention and Recruitment

Very High Priority

Feasibility Index: GOOD

**Time Frame: Evaluate Progress by
December 2005**

Issues

- **Cancer Surveillance field suffering staffing issues now, and for the future**
- **NCRA priority area**
- **Staff Retention in field also an issue**

Tactics

- Form NAACCR workgroup on this issue
- Identify gaps not already being addressed
- Meet with NCRA about shared interests in this area

Specific Tasks

- Form R-n-R Workgroup
- Develop informational packets for recruitment
- Survey NAACCR Members about R-n-R successes
- Work with NCRA
- Consider a “Futuring” workshop
- Work with C-Change’s Workforce Group

Who?

- **NAACCR:**

- ◆ **Education Committee, Mignon Dryden**

- **Others:**

- ◆ **Sponsoring Member Organizations**
- ◆ **C-Change**



**Develop Standards that
Ensure Validity of Data
Across Disciplines**
High Priority

Feasibility Index: GOOD

Time Frame: One-two years

Issues:

- **Meaningful data collection is essential**
- **Make sure differences are understood**
- **Assess the validity of making changes, focusing on the use of the data as well as the collection**

Tactics:

- **Develop support for cross disciplinary dialogue to explore similarities and differences**
- **Develop communication strategies with Implementation Oversight group, C-Change, and NCCCS**

Specific Tasks

- Provide leadership to C-Change for the planning of cross disciplinary dialogue
- Types of discussions: ambiguous terms, multiple primaries, histologies, etc
- Tie in real time reporting issues

Who?

- **NAACCR:**

- ◆ **Board of Directors, Executive Office**

- **Others:**

- ◆ **Sponsoring Member Organizations**
- ◆ **C-Change**



Progress:

- Planning a meeting sponsored by C-Change Access Team on this topic

Define and Assess surveillance needs for various outcomes

High Priority

Feasibility Index: GOOD

Time Frame: One-Two Years

Tactics:

- Ask Members and SMOs to identify users and stakeholders
 - ◆ Identify how they use data
- Talk to stakeholders about potential data use and wish lists

Tasks:

- Construct matrix of categories of use/products/data elements
- Develop ways to evaluate data for various uses – are we marketable?
- Develop materials for cancer control specialists to better understand how Registry data may be used

Who?

- **NAACCR**

- ◆ **Data Evaluation and Certification Committee**

- ◆ **Data Use and Research Committee**

- **Others**

- ◆ **Members. NCCCS, SMOs,**



Progress

- Matrix has been worked on